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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure Type</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structured/partnered</td>
<td>Similar to current structure</td>
<td>Con: mixed participant representation (current mix of experts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pro: linked to authority/inventory potentially funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Structured</td>
<td>Similar to ADC (has mixed model)</td>
<td>Con: potential lack of access to funds or inventory/data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pro: attract self motivated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured/non Structured W Working Group Focus</td>
<td>Can fit either architectures and flexible needs</td>
<td>Con: may require the chair or CON to be more active to direct activities of the WG’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pro: can be flexible/responsive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>